Woodland City Council Minutes Council Chambers 300 First Street Woodland, California

November 18, 2003

SPECIAL/CLOSED SESSION SECOND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM

6:00 P.M.

CLOSED SESSION

Council met in Closed Session at 6:00 to hold a conference with Legal Counsel regarding Anticipated Litigation, Initiation of Litigation Pursuant to Subdivision (c) of Section 54956.9, two cases. Present at these sessions were Vice Mayor Rexroad, Council Members Dote, Monroe and Peart, City Manager Kirkwood, Assistant City Manager Marler, City Attorney Siprelle. Mayor Flory arrived at 6:25 p.m. City Attorney's Collins and Ingram were present for discussion on one item and Redevelopment Agency Attorney Quinn was present at the other session. Council held a conference with Labor Negotiator Phil Marler Pursuant to Section 54957.6. The employee organizations discussed were the Police Supervisors and Police Management employees.

JOINT CITY COUNCIL/REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD REGULAR MEETING

7:00 P.M.

CLOSED SESSION ANNOUNCEMENT

Mayor Flory announced that a conference had been held with Legal Counsel regarding one case of anticipated litigation, initiation of litigation and gave guidance. Council indicated that the item on the "Resolution Calling for an Election for March 2, 2004 to Vote on Initiative Establishing Regional Flood Control Policy and Prohibiting City from Funding or Taking any Action to Support the Lower Cache Creek Flood Barrier or any Similar Structure" had been pulled from the Agenda at the advice of the City Attorney as the signatures had not been validated as of this date by the County Elections office. Council did not discuss the item on conference with the Labor Negotiator.



CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Flory called the regular meeting of the City Council to order at 7:15 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Flory invited all in attendance to join in the Pledge of Allegiance led by City Clerk Vannucci.

ROLL CALL

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Martie Dote, Jeff Monroe, Neal Peart, Matt Rexroad,

David Flory

COUNCIL MEMBER ABSENT: None

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Rick Kirkwood, Phil Marler, Ann Siprelle, Sue

Vannucci, Dan Gentry, Dan Rice, Dan Bellini, Gus Bush, George Bierwirth, Michelle Dowling, Tricia Stevens, Carey Sullivan, Jennifer Dzakowic, Joan Drayton, Karl Diekman, Susannah Reinhart, Gary

Wegener, Wendy Ross

OTHERS PRESENT: Contract Engineer Mitchell

PUBLIC COMMENT

Brenda Cedarblade said the Flood Wall resolution should be adopted by Ordinance. She also said there have been allegations that she has made a business deal with Mr. Petrovich and that is incorrect.

Eric Paulson asked if the signatures were certified will that put the ballot. The City Attorney said the Council has a duty to place this on the ballot only when the County certifies the petitions are signed by at least 10% of the registered voters of the City of Woodland. The petitions were submitted to the City Clerk on November 12th. The law allows the County Elections Official to have 30 days to count the signatures. If certified by December 5th, it would go on the next regular election, which would be November, 2004.

Council Member Monroe said in Closed Session it was decided on a three to two vote to pull it off the ballot, or remove it off the Agenda.



Nancy Lea said the initiative process was started Mr. Hernandez received a letter from the Clerk indicating if they wanted to be considered on the March ballot they had until November 12 at 4:00 to have the signatures in her office.

Mayor Flory asked for the interpretation of the letter referred to and City Attorney Siprelle said the City Clerk does not have any duty to provide legal advice to the proponents. They have the ability to research the law and find out what the applicable timelines are. The City Clerk had, in discussions with the County Elections Official, indicated that in order to be considered for the March election they should submit their signatures by November 11th. The County Elections Official has stated it is not likely they will be able to certify the signatures by this Council meeting and they have not yet done so.

Dudley Holman said he feels that the City Council previously took a position via a Resolution and City Council has the option of adopting a ordinance.

Colette Stewart said they were told the petition needed to be in by December 12^{th} to get it on the March 18^{th} ballot (these are dates as stated by Ms. Stewart, but are incorrect dates). This is stalling.

Dudley Holman asked if the issue is appropriate to discuss in Closed Session and falls under the Brown Act. City Attorney Siprelle said this item was on the Closed Session Agenda to consider Initiation of Litigation and it is Attorney/Client privilege. Mayor Flory said the vote was taken to remove because the signatures had not been certified.

COUNCIL/STAFF STATEMENTS AND REQUESTS

Vice Mayor Rexroad requested future discussion with the City Attorney and the Community Development Director requiring an Urban Limit Line, a plan on how this could be put into place, and development of a process. Council concurred.

Council Member Dote said the Water Resources Association is making progress on the Integrated Water Management Plan and a presentation will be made to Council in the Spring regarding the current status of both ground and surface water.

Council Member Monroe said Ellie Fairclough of Congressman Michael Thompson's office has advised a Federal Energy Bill was passed and \$200,000 of the requested \$1.5 million has been allocated for the lower Cache Creek study. He said he had asked about public art in relation to the new shopping center at Gibson and Pioneer and read in the newspaper that the project and art piece had been approved. Director Stevens said the Planning Commission had approved the project. She had thought the broader issue was the process and type of art that should be used. Council Member



Monroe said that was not his concern and would prefer art that is more directly tied with the historical nature of the City. Mayor Flory said he remembered the discussion as it should be specific to the project. Director Stevens will discuss the issue with the developer.

PRESENTATIONS

PARKS, RECREATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMISSION

Tanya McKay, Chairperson of the Parks, Recreation and Community Services Commission identified the projects the Commission has worked on in the past year, those presently progress and those which will be continued or forthcoming in the year ahead. Some of highlights are in relation to the Community/Senior Center in that land has been purchased and the budget and size of the buildings have been increased; the boxing facility at the Housing Authority site has been renovated; the Fourth of July celebration; implementation of the Special Events and Banner Ordinances; revision of the Fee Schedule; renovation of the Hiddleson Pool; upgrade of the ball field lighting; and adoption of the Parks Master Plan.

COMMUNITY/SENIOR CENTER, SPORTS COMPLEX, COMMUNITY PARK-PHASE I

Director Gentry presented building plans to the Council and a virtual video of the project and building layout. The mitigation of the Swainson's Hawk is on the City-owned land and is the same land for the Birds Beak mitigation, the fifty (50) acres South of the Waste Water Treatment Plant. Alternatives and/or phases should be included to allow for adjustment pending fund sources. Phase I includes the majority of the buildings with the exception of the fitness room and locker rooms. The youth center will be a shell. For current dollars under Proposition 40, they will be competing for \$500,000. The schematic has been approved as shown.

Hays Fisher of Senior Center, Inc. and former Commission on Aging member, feels that the senior portion is being short-changed. He asked when the plans for the electrical and cabinets are ready he would like to be involved.

Betty Martin, current Commission on Aging Member, said this project has evolved into less and less of a Senior Center. Space allocation and associated programs are of concern. The senior portion is a few offices, a computer room, lounge and one large room that can be divided into two rooms. There are 40 programs going on now and there is not enough space. The other rooms are



revenue driven. She does not feel the space over which they have control will be adequate for those programs presently underway. Director Gentry indicated that there are 9,000 to 10,000 square feet for the seniors specifically and the other meeting rooms are available to them as well. They will be able to use all rooms. Areas will not booked out for more than a year in advance and senior activities would be booked as needed. Council will need to make a policy decision on who has priority. Senior space has been more than doubled and it will not be difficult to accommodate their needs.

Jack Rye said the Care Car does not have space available. There is no other transportation available to the Center. Council advised the Yolo County Transportation District would be the agency contacted to set up a route to the Center.

Council Member Monroe will be meeting with Director Gentry and going over those needs to see if they will be met. Director Gentry said the vote had been for this plan. The architect indicated the types of changes requested and associated costs are dependent upon the scope of the change. If large blocks or areas of the building are to be moved, there would be substantial problems. This has been before the Commission and the Council on several occasions and under consideration for two years. The seniors have priority. It is rather late to be considering major changes at this time. Director Gentry will meet with the seniors on their concerns.

Tanya McKay said the Commissions and Committees have been working on this for two years. Extensive discussion have been held. This facility was to be for groups of all ages. The City represents all of these age groups. The identified 10,000 square feet for the seniors is not the only area they can use. The plans have gone through the Commission on Aging and have been approved. All of the issues have been discussed at length.

Director Gentry said there are two entrances, one in front and one in back, that will address those issues for those who need to have close access. The Care Car will also have space at the center.

Jack Slaven would like to see it go forward. Measure H was passed as a Community and Senior Center. There will always be concerns. The seniors have had their own building for a long time and would probably like that to remain the same. We must be flexible. The seniors will be using this most of the time. The ballot always said Community/Senior Center.

Beverly Radman said the Care Car is not part of the Parks program and is separate and private. They can serve best because they are centrally located.



Loretta Hanson attended every meeting she knew about and the Commission on Aging members did not speak up. If they take out the reception area, the seniors will really have the same amount of space they have now.

Warren Meyer, President of Senior Center Inc., said that Director Gentry has been to a few meetings and was asked to change some things. He did not change those things requested.

Council recessed at 9:10 p.m. and reconvened at 9:23 p.m.

CRIME PREVENTION GRANT FROM WAL-MART CORPORATION

Chief Sullivan introduced Elise Warner from Wal-Mart and indicated the Department had received a \$1,500 grant from the local store which is part of the company's Safe Neighborhood Heroes program. The recipients were selected by the employees.

FAMILY WEEK PROCLAMATION

On a motion by Council Member Monroe, seconded by Council Member Peart and unanimously carried, Council proclaimed the week of November 23 through 29, 2003 as Family Week as requested by the Woodland Ecumenical Ministry. Council Member Dote presented the Proclamation to Bishop Russell Spring of the Latter Day Saints Church.

PUBLIC HEARING

RESOLUTION 4492-SPRING LAKE AGRICULTURAL MITIGATION PROGRAM POLICY FOR HOME SITES ASSOCIATED WITH AGRICULTURAL EASEMENTS AND FIND ACTION COVERED BY TURN OF THE CENTURY EIR AND ADDENDA

Director Stevens said this is recommended amendment to the Spring Lake Specific Plan Agricultural Mitigation Plan. There was to be purchased land for this proposal and a clause prohibiting home sites. Council had approved the easements that were consistent with the County agricultural zoning. The intent is to clarify those policies. The recommendations are: (1) home sites will be limited to 2 acres; (2) there is consistency with County land use policies and Williamson Act requirements; (3) location of home sites is to be adjacent to existing public roadways and existing development; (4) home sites shall be



limited to one home site per 80 acres of easement land; and (5) the home site will be included within the easement. Constraints would be that the two acre home site would be included with the easement and the property would be part even though the area outside of the home site would be the only area that is counted as part of the mitigation land. It raises the bar above the County land requirements. If someone had 60 acres in the AP zone that requires 80 acres under County regulations they could build on the 60 but this new policy would not allow. The County allows 2 homes per parcel. If there is a legal nonconforming parcel that is less than the 80 acres, they could still build. They would still have to go through the County Planning. There will be two kinds of property, A-1 zoning where there are 20 acre minimum acre requirement, non-Williamson Act preserved land. The AP Zone has a minimum of 80 acres for the Williamson Act contract. Potentially there could be two or three parcels in the total 80 acres that would allow one home on land that may not be contiguous. Industrial or sub-division development seem to be the only things that are prohibited. It is allowed under the permit but most would not be permitted under the Williamson Contract and would not be allowed under and easement.

The City Attorney indicated the mitigation of easements are entered into between private parties. The City is not imposing the restriction with the easement. These are criteria for determination what land is suitable. The property owners in the County would have to agree to the easement to be placed on their land. The purpose is to permanently preserve land so that it is available for agricultural production. Council Member Dote said the land depends on the County zoning at the time as to whether they can build a home.

At 9:45, Mayor Flory opened the Public Hearing.

Katherine Kelly of the Yolo Land Trust said with the home site issue and how it relates to the easement, 100 acres of agricultural mitigation would be a 102 acre easement. One hundred would be credited toward the agricultural mitigation with two acres toward the easement, but not part of the mitigation credit. That two acres could have a much different use which could include clustered development that would conflict with the agricultural mitigation. The use on the two acres would be negotiated. Future use could be to restrict based on the zoning in place today. Zoning and easements are very separate things. Land use regulations are what City, County and State government must control. Easements are a private transaction. There should be consistency with agricultural easements on agricultural property. Easements are almost always more restrictive than what the land use permits. It is difficult to find a 940 acre easement area so they are looking for smaller pieces, a step above agricultural



preservation as mitigation is at a higher level. With home sites that becomes an issue. An easement on 80 acres becomes a rural residence, the land value is higher and the land is not purchased by farmers. They would not like to have any more than one house per 80 acres. The Road 27/29 corridor cannot become 80 under County policy. They are trying to purchase from willing sellers in that area. They have land owners with small parcels that are willing to sell as an easement and want their property to remain in agriculture for other young farmers to have the ability to purchase. A large enough percentage needs to be purchased to maintain that area in agricultural preservation.

Ms. Kelly said they are working with willing land owners at present. The easement value is based upon identifying the target area, which raises the price of land. The other issue is the escalated values of the parcels because of the rural residential lifestyle. The mission is to preserve the agricultural habitat and open space land of Yolo County. Willing sellers is a component, strategically using the acquisition and funds that come to them to accomplish that is part of the mission. The resolution dilutes want they were hoping for but will meet their needs if the 80 acres is approved.

Eric Paulsen of the Farm Bureau said if not specific to the Road 27/29 corridor, the proposal would not be of concern but if ranchettes are proposed, it would be inconsistent. The intent is to allow the land to be farmed. We should try to preserve the land. The 80 acres is viable to lease and would be viable to farm. It may cut down on the options by having non-farmers on the land. Two acres would be the maximum and would be a large home site. Ms. Kelly stated the agricultural easement option allows for barns, etc. with some restrictions to be included. Because stacking is being allowed for the Swainson's Hawk, structures are prohibited. Mr. Paulsen said the Council does have the authority to set a precedent as the City is handling the easements the City has some control as well. He would like the City to go back to the original Spring Lake Specific Plan without any residences allowed.

Charlie Rominger, Chair of the Farm Bureau Land Use Committee responded to a question regarding people willing to work with Ms. Kelly but they will not work with the developer. They are more than willing to work with other farmers than with the government. Negotiations are underway which is confidential. They have three areas of concern: (1) expanding cities; (2) breaking up land and selling it off; (3) antiquated subdivisions. The amendment significantly weakens the original mitigation ordinance. Many of the 20 acre parcels used already have homes on them. Some Williamson Act contracts many have more than 80 acres included. The biggest asset of a farmer is the land. These pieces will be purchased for luxury homes. Land Use Policy No. 17 indicates the residential land uses in agricultural areas will be limited to dwellings



for the preservation of the family farm or employees, or people who own farmland. The dwellings shall be encouraged on that portion of the land that is considered to be unsuitable for production. The County wants to create specialty farming areas, organic farming, specialty crops, etc. If the language of the easement said that the only people who could build houses were those who were farming the land, it would be consistent. He asked that they not consider going below 80 acres. The County does not have their specifications for the mitigation written as yet.

Robert Ramming said the value of agricultural easements makes the land value higher. Land has been sold with the understanding that homes could be placed on the property only to find out that option was not possible. Land Use No. 21 makes it clear that land was not to be divided to provide home sites for those who were not actively involved in agricultural activity. If easement land is not available in the corridor, we should be looking at easements in a more valuable area. There is more Class 1 soil next to the City. This would be a good target area would be along the South edge of Woodland. The developers own this land on the South and maybe this should be made triple credit.

At 10:22 p.m. on a motion by Vice Mayor Rexroad, seconded by Council Member Dote and unanimously carried, Council extended the meeting until 11:00.

Tom Lumbrazo of Turn of the Century said between 1997 to 1999 they were looking for easements and they thought about paying the City to find the land. No one came forward. They did find one since at 300 acres. There are some constraints as they must go South into the target area. The long range goals were to preserve that area. Easements need some incentives. The Council could require a 3 to 1 ratio. Giving extra credit by being close to the City would be a good idea.

Director Stevens said the homes cannot start until the easements are in place. With a permanent Urban Limit Line the easements would be easier to acquire. The easements need to be in place prior to the homes starting. The lawsuit referred to the Swainson's Hawk habitat but they can be stacked easements.

Mr. Lumbrazo indicated they have 300 acres of the Heidrick property and possibly 140 along Highway 113, with perhaps 150 of the Maupin property along Willow Slough which may have environmental mitigation and a few acres South of Road 27. These are in the A-1 zone and have no Williamson Act restrictions.



The AP zone has Williamson Act and the minimum size is 80 acres. Anything lower than 80 acres that already exists is a non-conforming parcel and can allow in some circumstances a home site could be allowed.

John Bencuomo Director of Planning and Public Works for the County of Yolo, said the Council has a 1 to 1 ratio but they do not allow stacking. The requirements for the easement to be acceptable is: it must be like soil quality, same availability of water, a proximity to the development site (3 mile radius). If it is impossible to attain and there are more strategic locations, they may be able to direct to that area. This would preserve the agricultural viability of the land. There was no discussion regarding home sites and he referred to the County Zoning Ordinance. There is no formalization in respect to an easement program. The easements they have been involved in do not include home sites. The resolution is consistent with the County zoning and regulations and is more restrictive. The easements, general land use zoning, property rights are considered. They do not allow any new parcels to be less than 80 acres. They do respect property rights for those existing subdivisions. The County would be very happy to work with the City on this.

Tim Swickert of the Farm Bureau said from the CEQA standpoint under Appendix G, there are a number of activities that have an effect on the environment. One of those are agricultural resources. There are three listed, conversion of prime farmland, unique farmland or farmland of State-wide importance, conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or Williamson Act Contract, and cause other impacts or conversions of farmland. Yolo County farmland is of State-wide importance and will cause a significant effect on the environment and we must have mitigation. The mitigation value is the measure of additional preservation beyond what development or environmental impact is already allowed by law on that particular parcel. It must be more restrictive to be of any mitigation value. This mitigation of 1 to 1 is extremely low in comparison to other restrictive mitigations. The CEQA question could be that it was not adequately mitigated.

At 10:52, Mayor Flory closed the Public Hearing.

Council Member Monroe asked about the 3 to 1 on the South of the City. Vice Mayor Rexroad feels that if the Urban Limit line concept is put into place, the land on the other side of that line diminishes greatly in value. This would stop growth of the City. If property is in the correct area, they could purchase 30 acres which would meet the 3 to 1 of mitigation required because of the Class I soil. Director Stevens said this would be inconsistent with the EIR and the EIR



would need to be amended. Council Member Dote said there are over 400 acres in the Master Plan Remainder Area yet to be Specific Planned. There are other areas, such as that Clark Pacific area, which require mitigation. Council Member Peart asked if this Resolution would be challengeable in regard to the CEQA and Director Stevens said it would not be based upon what they have done in the past. Council Member Monroe would not like to go below 80 acres and would like to work with the County on this issue. Director Stevens said there has been some discussion on trying to forge an agreement on these type of policies. Council Member Dote would like to add the County and City of Davis to the discussion.

Council Member Peart said it is to the County advantage to set some type of criteria. He made a motion to adopt Resolution 4492 amending the Spring Lake Agricultural Land Mitigation Program to reflect the recommended policy for home sites associated with agricultural easements, find the action covered by the certified Turn of the Century EIR (SCH 99022069) and addenda thereto and requires no further CEQA clearance. Motion lost for lack of a second.

Council Member Dote asked about a CEQA challenge in that the statute of limitations is well past. City Attorney Siprelle said this actually implements the EIR mitigation measure requiring the City to adopt the agricultural mitigation policy. Director Stevens said the Spring Lake Specific Plan calls for 1 to 1, but does not set the specific parameters on how to implement the program, but is more of a broad policy. Council Member Dote asked if there was a pending maps that requires these easements and is this time sensitive. Director Stevens said the Beeghly portion is pending which does involve some of the home sites.

Vice Mayor Rexroad said the home sites do not meet the criteria of agricultural preservation. It should be a full 80 acres of protected farmland. Director Stevens said the area is targeted to be South of the City. It has been an assumption that stacking is acceptable but the Council could change that concept. It was intended that the target area mirror the area of mitigation for the Swainson's Hawk.

At 10:58 on a motion was made by Council Member Dote and seconded by Vice Mayor Rexroad to extend the meeting until 11:30. Motion failed.

On a motion by Council Member Peart, seconded by Council Member Dote and carried, Council adopted Resolution 4492, a "Resolution of the City Council of the City of Woodland Adopting the Spring Lake Specific Plan Agricultural Land Mitigation Program" to reflect the recommended policy for home sites associated



with agricultural easements, find the action covered by the certified Turn of the Century EIR (SCH 99022069) and addenda thereto and requires no further CEQA clearance. Vice Mayor Rexroad voted to the negative.

REPORTS OF THE CITY MANAGER

Council concurred to hold the following items over to the next Council meeting due to time constraints:

ADOPT RESOLUTION SUPPORTING A STATEWIDE BALLOT TO REQUIRE VOTER APPROVAL BEFORE STATE GOVERNMENT CAN TAKE LOCAL TAX FUNDS

ADOPT RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE 2004 CALTRANS FREEWAY FIRE REDUCTION AND PREVENTION PLAN FOR YOLO COUNTY

DISCUSS PROPOSED CHANGE TO CITY CODE SECTION 2-1-6 REGARDING COUNCIL CORRESPONDENCE

The following item had been removed from the Agenda following Closed Session.

ADOPT RESOLUTION CALLING FOR AN ELECTION FOR MARCH 2, 2004 TO VOTE ON INITIATIVE ESTABLISHING REGIONAL FLOOD CONTROL POLICY AND PROHIBITING CITY FROM FUNDING OR TAKING ANY ACTION TO SUPPORT THE LOWER CACHE CREEK FLOOD BARRIER OR ANY SIMILAR STRUCTURE

At 11:00 on a motion by Vice Mayor Rexroad, seconded by Council Member Dote and carried, Council extended the meeting to 11:05 p.m.

RESOLUTION 4493-CALLING FOR AN ELECTION FOR CITY COUNCIL FOR MARCH 2, 2004 REQUESTING CONSOLIDATION WITH STATEWIDE PRIMARY AND REQUESTING SERVICES OF COUNTY ELECTIONS OFFICIAL

On a motion by Council Member Dote, seconded by Vice Mayor Rexroad and carried unanimously, Council adopted Resolution 4493, a "Resolution of the City Council of the City of Woodland Calling an Election for March 2, 2004, Requesting the County of Yolo to Consolidate the Election with the Statewide Primary Election and to Provide Services Relating to the Election".



COMMUNITY/SENIOR CENTER DONATION/SPONSORSHIP PROGRAM

On a motion by Vice Mayor Rexroad, seconded by Council Member Dote and carried unanimously, Council adopted the City of Woodland Parks, Recreation and Community Services Department Community/Senior Center Complex Donation/Sponsor Guidelines.

CITY INVESTMENT POLICY

On a motion by Council Member Peart, seconded by Vice Mayor Rexroad and carried unanimously, Council adopted the Investment Policy for the City of Woodland.

ORDINANCE ON WEBSITE CAMPAIGN REPORTING

Council Member Monroe asked for clarification on the request from the League of Women Voters to study this issue prior to adoption. Vice Mayor Rexroad indicated the League would not have adequate time to review prior to the upcoming election. On a motion by Council Member Peart, seconded by Vice Mayor Rexroad and carried unanimously, Council introduced and read by title only "An Ordinance of the City of Woodland, California Adding Chapter 27, Article 1 Requiring Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Made to Candidates and Ballot Measure Committees".

CONSENT

On a motion by Council Member Dote, seconded by Council Member Peart and carried unanimously, Council approved the following Consent Calendar items as presented.

FIRE DEPARTMENT MONTHLY REPORT

Council received the Fire Department Monthly Status Report for October 2003.

TREASURER'S INVESTMENT REPORT

Council reviewed and accepted the Treasurer's Investment Report for September 2003.



CASA DEL SOL (WOODLAND/DANA MOBILE HOMES) PROJECT

Council received an update on the Casa Del Sol Project located at the former sites of the Woodland and Dana Mobile Home Parks.

RESOLUTIONS 4494 THROUGH 4497 IMPLEMENTING THE PAYING AND REPORTING OF EMPLOYER PAID MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS TO PERS FOR CITY EMPLOYEES AND INCORPORATE CHANGE IN BENEFITS WITH CITY EMPLOYEES

Council adopted the following "Resolution for Paying and Reporting the Value of Employer Paid Member Contributions" for the indicated groups of employees of the City:

Resolution 4494 - Confidential Employees
Resolution 4495 - Mid Management Employees

Resolution 4496 - Woodland City Employees (General Services)

Resolution 4497 - Police Employees

RESOLUTION 4498-ADDING 158 THIRD STREET HOME TO CITY HISTORICAL RESOURCES INVENTORY

Council adopted Resolution 4498, "A Resolution of the City Council adding 158 Third Street to the City's Historical Resources Inventory".

RESOLUTIONS 4499 AND 4500-FIXING EMPLOYER'S CONTRIBUTION UNDER THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE'S MEDICAL AND HOSPITAL CARE ACT TO AMEND EMPLOYEES AND RETIREES PERS MEDICAL ALLOWANCES FOR 2004

Council adopted Resolutions 4499 and 4500 for the employee groups indicated below, "Fixing the Employer's Contribution Under the Public Employee's Medication and Hospital Care Act", to amend employees and retirees PERS medical allowances for 2004:

Resolution 4499 - Police Mid-Management

Resolution 4500 - Police Supervisors



RESIGNATION OF TREE COMMISSIONER

Council accepted with regret the resignation of Bart Van Der Zeeuw from the Tree Commission, effective immediately.

FILLING CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED VACANT POSITIONS

Council received and confirmed the report of the City Manager to fill the following currently authorized vacant positions:

Utilities Maintenance Supervisor Water Pollution Control Operator II Engineering Technician

COMMUNICATIONS - WRITTEN

Council deferred the following items to the next Council meeting due to time constraints:

CLAIM FOR DAMAGES FILED BY KATIE WRIGHT

LETTER FROM STATE HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT REGARDING HOUSING ELEMENT ACCEPTANCE

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Council deferred consideration of the following items to the next meeting due to time constraints:

MINUTES OF YOLO COUNTY EMERGENCY SERVICES AGENCY MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 3, 2003

MINUTES OF HISTORICAL PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETING OF OCTOBER 8, 2003

MINUTES OF YOLO COUNTY PUBLIC AGENCY RISK MANAGEMENT INSURANCE AUTHORITY MEETING OF OCTOBER 24, 2003



ORDINANCE

ORDINANCE 1376-AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE WOODLAND REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT (THE "AMENDMENT")

On a motion by Council Member Dote, seconded by Council Member Peart and carried unanimously, Council adopted Ordinance 1376, "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Woodland Amending Ordinances Nos. 1129 and 1255, and Approving and Adopting Amendment No. 2 to the Redevelopment Plan for the Woodland Redevelopment Project".

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Flory adjourned the joint meeting of the City Council and Redevelopment Agency Board at 11:05.

Respectfully submitted,	
Sue Vannucci, CMC, City Clerk	