

Woodland City Council Minutes
Council Chambers
300 First Street
Woodland, California

January 18, 2005

**WOODLAND CITY COUNCIL/
WOODLAND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY/
WOODLAND PUBLIC FACILITIES CORPORATION/
WOODLAND PUBLIC FINANCE AUTHORITY
JOINT REGULAR MEETING**

JANUARY 18, 2005

7:00 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER

Vice Mayor Flory called the joint regular meeting of the City Council, Redevelopment Agency Board, Woodland Public Facilities Corporation Board, and Woodland Public Finance Authority Board of the City of Woodland to order at 7:00 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Vice Mayor Flory invited Council and members of the audience join in the Pledge of Allegiance led by Finance Director Drayton.

ROLL CALL

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: David Flory, Jeff Monroe, Neal Peart, Artemio Pimentel, Matt Rexroad (recused himself from portions of the Agenda due to Conflict of Interest)

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT: See above notation

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: Rick Kirkwood, Phil Marler, Ann Siprelle, Sue Vannucci, Tricia Stevens, Karl Diekman, Joan Drayton, Paul Hanson, Wendy Ross, Dan Gentry, Carey Sullivan, Debbie Grose, Bruce Pollard, John Everett, Julie Henson



PUBLIC COMMENT

Dudley Holman said the issue of dissolution of the Redevelopment Citizens' Advisory Commission should be heard in the form of a Public Hearing as this Commission should be kept in place.

REPORTS OF THE CITY MANAGER

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT (SMUD) ANNEXATION FEASIBILITY STUDY

Assistant City Manager Marler indicated Council had requested that SMUD conduct a Feasibility Study for possible annexation to the District by the City of Woodland and other Cities in the area. In March of 2004 a contract was approved with R. W. Beck to conduct this study. It is recommended that a Public Hearing be set for February 15, 2005.

Mike Bell of R. W. Beck said Stone and Webster and Lucy and Company also participated in the study. The Cities would be served as would some of the unincorporated areas. The purpose of the evaluation was to determine impacts on existing Pacific Gas and Electric and SMUD ratepayers and to present a positive new present value. The study looked at incremental transmission and individual approaches through the California Independent Service Operators (CAISO). All of the available options were studied to determine which might be most appealing to SMUD. The methodology looked at the estimated cost to serve the annexation area. Those costs included acquisition, system improvements needed, separation, power supply, operation and maintenance, administrative and general costs, franchise fees and taxes, renewals and replacements, and non-bypassable charges. Calculations of breakeven revenue, rate surcharges and benefits were studied. The conservative assumptions were the high cost of acquisition. The transmission/ distribution investments to meet SMUD reliability standards are at \$27 million. They were very conservative in the P. G. and E. power supply costs at Diablo Canyon, for hydro re-license and renewable resources. Utilizing this information, it is still indicative that the average rates for P. G. and E. are substantially higher. The savings for the City of Woodland could be in the range of negative .62 to 8.30%, worst to best case scenario.

Council Member Monroe left the meeting at 7:28 and returned at 7:29.



The SMUD customer benefits include greater load sensitivity, opportunity to optimize power supply costs, economic benefit when breakeven rates intersect with SMUD rates (\$400,000 to \$54,000,000), and direct contribution to SMUD retained earnings. Issues to consider are the transmission build versus the California Independent Service Operator options, separation and needed improvements, reliability in the annexation areas, power market prices and power supply costs, residential revenue adjustments and administration of surcharge.

Council Member Pimentel asked for clarification on SMUD standards. Mr. Bell said these are in the design of the operating standards of the system. For example, "Y" loading, which is how much load is on the line out of a substation. P. G. and E. has a higher load which puts strain on the system. SMUD would recommend that be improved to meet their own standards. They are confident the rates will be lower for SMUD customers should this project go forward. There are less downsides and the area has a very desirable load.

Council Member Peart asked for an explanation of the dip in rates on page ES-11, Figure ES-5 of the report. Mr. Bell indicated this relates to non-bypassable charges (exit fees).

Bill Marcus said there can be difficulty in the process if there are financial errors. We need to be sure we have the best possible assumptions. He feels a technical orientation workshop with some of the Council and Staff prior to the Public Hearing.

On a motion by Council Member Peart, seconded by Council Member Monroe and carried by the Members present, Council set the Public Hearing for consideration of proceeding with recommended annexation to the Sacramento Municipal Utility District be set for February 15, 2005. Consideration of input from Mr. Marcus will be included.

At 7:40 p.m., Mayor Rexroad returned to the Council meeting.

Council Member Pimentel left the meeting at 7:40 and returned at 7:41.



PUBLIC HEARINGS

CERTIFYING FINAL EIR; CONSISTENCY WITH GENERAL PLAN; ORDINANCE TO AMEND ZONING; ORDINANCE TO APPROVE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT; MASTER CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT; TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP; GATEWAY AUTO MALL PROJECT

City Attorney Siprelle indicated three additional letters had been received today from the County of Yolo, the representatives of the Knott property and Herum and Associates. A majority of the issues contained in these letters relate to previous issues which have been addressed. She recommended opening the Public Hearing, taking comments, close and continue the Public Hearing to February 1, 2005 to allow staff to address the new questions. Mayor Rexroad said all questions would be taken and the legal obligations before the Council will be met.

Director Stevens said the project is a 55 acre site for regional retail and auto dealerships. The two options are that of 25 acres of auto dealership, including a 5 acre reserve with retail allowed after two years if no other auto dealerships come forward. The retail would be 321,555 square feet, with 4 fast food restaurants, one service station, 1.7 acres of on-site roadways, 3.5 acres for the interchange, off-site drainage facilities and an off-site public roadway to Maxwell Drive. The project entitlements are the final EIR, the Development Agreement, amendments to the C-H and EOZ zones, a master Conditional Use Permit, the Parcel Map, final site plan and design review by the Planning Commission and withdrawal of the video sign.

The land was annexed into the City in 1989. In 2002 the General Plan was amended to allow mixed retail with a Development Agreement. The economic impact and market analysis have been done and the EIR prepared. The Planning Commission held hearings July through October of 2003 and Council took action to deny in December of 2003. In May of 2004 Council rescinded that action and called for a study to be handled by the Staubach Auto Group. The objectives of the project are to provide for local auto dealership expansion, expansion of retail opportunities for the City and region, provide for a quality design and revenue for the City, and contributions toward the downtown revitalization. The components of the Development Agreement to include restrictions on use, reservations on auto dealerships, limitation on the number of small shops, contribution to downtown redevelopment, provide fire operations funding and to construct the Interstate 5 interchange. Specific contributions to the downtown redevelopment to include a Participation Agreement with the Redevelopment Agency and a \$1 million obligation. The



final four volume EIR adopts the findings, determines the significant impacts and overriding considerations, provides for a mitigation monitoring plan, indicates there are no new significant impacts since the 2003 circulation, provides for traffic mitigation by construction of the new I-5 on-ramp, mitigates the loss of agricultural land and Swainson's Hawk habitat and consistency with the floodplain. The Conditional Use Permit mandates a quality design consistent with the community design guidelines, entryway design and I-5 landscaping and final review by the Planning Commission for final acreages. In conclusion, the project is consistent with the General Plan, has positive attributes for the community, meets the Development Agreement obligations, and is a quality design.

City Manager Kirkwood said he would like the record to show that part of the issue before the County is their concern regarding property tax. On December 3, 2002, the County and Council were provided a history of this issue. The Master Property Tax Sharing Agreement 80-416 with the County was utilized and Resolution 3512 approved on December 19, 1989 addressed this issue and annexed the property in question. On June 5, 1990, the County brought a proposal to the City/County 2 X 2 in an attempt to reopen the tax sharing on this issue. It was determined there was no re-opener provision. At a meeting on December 17, 2002, the County again attempted to reopen this issue and the City determined this is not a rezoning and therefore, does not require a reopener. The County did not file for a reopener at that time and the time has now passed where one could be considered.

Tim Youmans from EPS said in 2003 a Market Analysis was done and City Manager Kirkwood asked if a fiscal analysis on the County of Yolo was done as part of that document. Mr. Youmans said the County will receive their share of property tax revenue which is 11.8% of the 1%. They also receive public safety sales tax which is 1/2 of 1%. Their share of the property tax alone is \$67,000. The County share of the cost for employees associated with this property is \$67,000. Any sales tax would be totally profit. The increase in sales could be as much as \$1.5 million if citizens now purchasing in Davis or elsewhere, would come to this project to purchase their vehicles, which would equate to \$570,000 for the City in sales tax income.

Council Member Monroe asked what the County makes off of the agricultural land on this site and Mr. Youmans said not a great deal. They will make more money on the property tax. They will also have costs. Those services they would be providing to the site would be costs for the County and City and they would break even.



Council Member Peart said the County should be very happy with the report because they will be receiving more money. City Manager Kirkwood said in 1989 the agreement had been finalised and nothing had surfaced at that time. Proposition 172 will provide the County money from sales tax that is generated from the municipality. The County will benefit by \$570,000 beyond what they now receive. The City has done a good job in helping the County solve their issues.

Council Member Pimentel asked if the County wants to reopen and Mayor Rexroad said they would like to and they want to discuss this issue further. The letter received today indicates they want the City to delay this project.

At 8:15 Mayor Rexroad opened the Public Hearing.

Paul Petrovich, Developer, said the project is the most pivotal redevelopment project in the City's history as he will be providing \$1 million for the downtown and leading the infill. His company has developed the Folsom and Elk Grove Auto Mall projects. The project will provide \$1.3 million to the City annually in sales tax. It will not compete with the downtown businesses. The location of Target on this site will keep their sales tax revenue local instead of moving to Davis or elsewhere. The addition of \$334,000 to cover the Fire operating shortfall will assist the City. Local dealers will be more competitive in the region and will keep them here, perhaps even bringing others local. It is anticipated that 1,000 new jobs will be generated by the project. The \$14 million infrastructure, widening of Road 102, addition of two traffic signals, and construction of the new I-5 on-ramp are significant aspects. Sales tax leakage will be stopped and additional sales tax will be realized. This is an unprecedented commitment to the downtown redevelopment.

Mayor Rexroad left the meeting at 8:20 and returned at 8:21.

Mr. Petrovich said if Council maintains a two year hold on the five acres, he will not begin the project for two years.

Council Member Pimentel left the meeting at 8:28 and returned at 8:29.

Council Member Monroe left the meeting at 8:29 and returned at 8:29.

Mayor Rexroad asked about the grocery restriction as Mr. Petrovich had said his bank is cautious about approving financing because of that restriction.



Mr. Petrovich said both his project and Target financing have concerns about the restrictions. The banks had tentatively approved the financing without restrictions in 2003.

Mayor Rexroad indicated that Mr. Petrovich had earlier said the grocery restriction was not an issue with him but has now indicated otherwise. Council Member Monroe does not see how any grocery store could fit on the site. Mr. Petrovich said there is demand for grocery on this site.

Bryan Trebor of Target said they have been working on the space needs for approximately 3 years. The store was the right size when it opened in 1988. They have now outgrown that site. When Highway 113 was moved, they began to struggle. Target does want to remain in this City, but not at the site.

Meg Faye spoke in support of the project. She wants the best services available for the community and does not want the Council to bow to special interest groups. The project will provide income for the downtown and will draw shoppers to the downtown as well. The downtown will be enhanced. She supports 20 acres of auto and the remainder as retail. Adequate acreage is needed for the businesses that want to come here. Restrictions on grocery is discriminatory.

Debora Rose Cox indicated she is speaking on behalf of 42 individuals. They all strongly support the project with no restrictions.

Sharon Balmain supports the project with retail and no restrictions on grocery components. The project will bring needed revenue to the City and County. We need to keep shopping and Target local. The money that will go into the downtown will restore and keep it vibrant.

Sam Crawford supports the project and the revenue for the City is needed. The possibility of the State taking more funds away from the City is always a concern. The ½ cent sales tax will help the City income. Some of the money is from people shopping here who reside elsewhere. Council Member Peart said that presently 40% of our sales tax is from those who live outside of the City.

Tim Taylor represents Bruce Knott and the Woodland 102 Group that is to the East of this project. They have been trying to negotiate with the property owner on this project. There are some environmental concerns that have surfaced on the project. They include: (1) agricultural land conservation, (2) drainage, (3) demand and water supply. He presented a five page letter



regarding their issues and concerns. A letter was submitted in December of 2003 which also included access issues. He feels these issues have not been adequately addressed by the City. He is not sure why the access is an issue, but should be addressed prior to moving ahead. Council Member Peart asked if there is access now and Mr. Taylor said they can access the property at present. Vice Mayor Flory said the overpass to the East is for agricultural purposes only but access issues would be addressed via preservation of right-of-way. The current access will not go into the entire property as it will be a private roadway.

Council Member Peart left the meeting at 8:58 and returned at 8:59.

Susan Lemus encouraged approval of the project.

Robert Pye cautioned the Council to consider all of the elements prior to making a decision.

Sandy Simpson strongly supports bringing more retail to the City. She would like the auto dealerships to remain at 20 acres in an effort to provide that needed retail. Local businesses should be the best businesses, not the only businesses.

Shawn Delmond lives along Road 102 and prefers smaller and locally owned businesses rather than big box stores. His concerns are the lighting that will be on all night at these stores and the flood plain.

Tom Stallard is concerned about the retail in the project. There is talk about acquisition of land to the East and South which means there is no end to the growth in this area. Did the Council make a mistake allowing the Mall to open in 1988? He urged the City to work with the County on the tax issue.

Mark Engstrom supports the concept and a level playing field. Those areas to the East have always had concern by Council on the grocery and they all had many restrictions placed on them when their projects were proposed. He suggested language that stand-alone grocery would be a secondary component.

Vicky Panzich said there are many special interests on both sides of this issue. In the Development Agreement on page 37 it would allow bookstores over 5,000 square feet. She said they are happy to compete with those twice their size but big stores are not a level playing field. There has been no discussion on how the money in the downtown will be used.



Council Member Pimentel left the meeting at 9:16 and returned at 9:19.

Morris Choo said the economic cycle at present is indicative of the need to bring this project to the City.

Debbie Kennedy of County Fair Mall and representative from Triple Net Properties said they are opposed to any retail on this site. The more retail added, the more the Mall looks toward failure. Jobs will be lost. Target will be leaving and should be required to relinquish their property at the Mall so that other retail can locate at that site. The damages to the Mall should be mitigated by the City.

Robert Millsap said he represents the Woodlander's for Responsible Government Group. Their purpose is to provide information so that citizens can make informed decisions. The discussion on the Mall have been taking place behind closed doors. The Mall problems are a result of the movement of Highway 113, not the Gateway project.

Susan Millsap said seventy-six percent of the citizens are going elsewhere to shop and dine. She wants her money to keep the pool open and wants the project approved without restrictions. This will allow quality stores to locate here.

Mayor Rexroad asked Bryan Trebor of Target about the status of the property at the Mall. Mr. Trebor said Target does own the building but not the land. They do not tie up the land and want to keep the asset. They do not want their asset vacant. At the end of the lease, they must offer the building back to the Mall. They will put it to the highest and best use and the Mall has first right on the building. City Manager Kirkwood said he has had discussions with Mr. Trebor on this issue and on page 3 of the staff report, it outlines that relationship. The Mall is a valuable asset to the City. It is for sale and he has had contact with those who are interested in purchasing. All of them have concerns about the Mall location at this time. Mr. Trebor said they are concerned about the size of the project and depend upon the co-tenants. They need 120,000 to 150,000 additional feet to draw others to the site.

Mr. Petrovich said the access for the property to the East is there and it is not land-locked. Wintun Avenue goes directly into the Knott property by allowing access. They want him to provide a new access through his property. He will maintain the access that they already have by extending Wintun.



Mayor Rexroad asked if on December 30, 2004 Mr. Petrovich had agreed to the terms of the Sub-Committee. Mr. Petrovich said those talks were with Mr. Phillips and were not what he had been directed to agree on. The auto mall at 25 acres and a grocery restriction are not acceptable to him.

Council Member Monroe has a problem with the language on grocery because it will mean less revenue to the City as grocery components are not taxable. Mr. Petrovich said the project is in a growing community. Target, Old Navy are the primaries but limiting grocery will restrict him in his negotiations.

Council Member Peart left the meeting at 9:37.

Mr. Petrovich said the area will need additional grocery stores with the Spring Lake Specific Plan growth in the next few years.

Council Member Peart returned to the meeting at 9:40.

Mayor Rexroad closed the Public Hearing at 9:41.

Council Member Pimentel asked if the decision was needed this evening. Mayor Rexroad said he would like a motion that support was evident, but a specific motion could hold. City Manager Kirkwood said Council could vote on the policy issues. The other items need staff review. Director Stevens said a response could be completed in two weeks, which would be for the February 1st meeting. Council Member Peart asked for clarification on who the group Herum and Crabtree represents and City Attorney Siprelle said for a plaintiff to bring action, that plaintiff should be part of the complaint.

Vice Mayor Flory left the meeting at 9:45 and returned at 9:47.

In regard to the restrictions on grocery stores, Mayor Rexroad and Council Members Peart and Pimentel feel there should be no restrictions. Council Member Monroe said free enterprise should be allowed and he does not want this issue to stop the project. Vice Mayor Flory would like some limits on the square footage on the grocery portions but does not have strong feelings either way.

In relation to the land use acreage, Council Member Pimentel feels the five acres should only have a one year to six month restriction. He would support 35 acres of retail, but not less than 20 acres for auto. Council Member



Monroe wants the 25 acres of auto initially with a one year restriction only on the 5 acres. Mayor Rexroad said the money for the downtown was put back on first occupancy and he would like to see that money upfront. He would like the Sub-Committee recommendations to stand with 25 acres for 2 years. Council Member Peart said if anything is altered, he feels we are subject to review. He wants to stay with the original proposal because then the EIR cannot be challenged. He does not feel that the 5 acres should be held for two years, but for only 1 year. To summarize, City Manager said consensus appears that the 20 acres of auto would be acceptable with the 5 acres in reserve for 1 year only.

The downtown funding contribution appears to be reverting to original language. Director Stevens said that will be part of the Agreement and it was assumed it would be provided upfront. Council concurred. To summarize, City Manager Kirkwood stated that on February 1, 2005, the item will return with (1) no restriction on grocery, (2) twenty acres is the mandate for auto, (3) the additional five acres will be held for one year only, and (4) the \$1 million contribution to the downtown will be provided upfront. Council concurred.

Council recessed from 10:09 through 10:15.

AWARD OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION LOAN PROJECT CONTRACT

Council continued this item to the February 1, 2005 Council meeting.

Council Member Monroe left the meeting at 10:16 and returned at 10:17.

REPORTS OF THE CITY MANAGER (continued)

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORTS FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004 FOR CITY OF WOODLAND, WOODLAND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, WOODLAND PUBLIC FACILITIES CORPORATION AND WOODLAND PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY

Finance Director Drayton and Assistant Finance Director Grose presented the Annual Financial Reports as indicated. The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) includes all financial activities of the City. The Single Audit Report had one minor finding and a correction action plan has been included. The Woodland Public Facilities Corporation, Woodland Finance Authority and



Woodland Redevelopment Agency Financial Statements were clean and unqualified opinions rendered. There were no material weaknesses on the Internal Control Structure.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 45 provides that effective with Fiscal Year 2008-09, the City is required to establish an Actuarial Base Funding Plan and report costs and outstanding obligations similar to defined benefit pension plans for post employment benefits other than pensions. Within the elements of the CAFR the performance of the City as compared to prior years and significant changes were identified. The fund deficits in Capital Projects, Redevelopment Agency, Proposition 172 funds and Literacy Grants totaled \$1,962,000. Before the City and of concern regarding financing are the sewer development via the tertiary plant expansion and regulatory compliance and the Storm Drain Enterprise fund with a \$500,000 annual operational deficit and significant ongoing capital requirements. The City is growing. Some of the fees for services have been increased to offset actual costs. Of note, the City was awarded "Certificates of Achievement for Outstanding Financial Reporting" from the California Society of Municipal Finance Officers Association and the Government Finance Officers Association for Fiscal Year 2003.

City Manager Kirkwood said the Department Directors have been restricting their costs and he does have a concern about not meeting our level of service as contained in the General Plan. The City is attempting to increase our reserves as per Council direction.

On a motion by Council Member Monroe, seconded by Council Member Pimentel and carried unanimously, Council, acting as the governing bodies of the City of Woodland, Woodland Redevelopment Agency, Woodland Public Facilities Corporation and the Woodland Public Financing Authority reviewed and accepted the respective audited financial reports for these entities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004.

FINAL OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

Finance Director Drayton said the Preliminary Operations and Maintenance Budget had been adopted in June. Several changes have been made since that preliminary approval which include: implementation of the State "Triple Flip" Program, additional Educational Reimbursement Augmentation Funds (ERAF) shifts, State approval of the Booking Fee revenues, augmentations to Police, Fire and Community Development personnel, changes to the Hiddleston Pool budget,



increases in Measure H sales tax revenues, corrections to the Redevelopment Agency budget, inclusion of various grant revenues and respective expenses, and carryovers from the Fiscal Year 03-04 Operating Budgets.

On a motion by Council Member Peart, seconded by Council Member Pimentel and carried unanimously, Council adopted the Final Operations and Maintenance Budget for Fiscal Year 2004-05 as presented.

At 10:25 on a motion by Council Member Pimentel, seconded by Council Member Peart and carried unanimously, Council extended the meeting t 10:45.

STREET NAMING POLICY FOR PROJECTS WITHIN THE SPRING LAKE SPECIFIC PLAN AREA

Fire Chief Diekman called attention to a memorandum distributed to Council which incorporates comments from some of the property owners. Mayor Rexroad indicated there are no concerns with honoring these requests and including street names for those in the military who have lost their lives in service. Vice Mayor Flory would like to have family names included. Chief Diekman said there is a list of established names but others could be included. The pioneer names would be adequate to complete the Spring Lake Specific Plan area. The priority is: (1) honor those names already committed by the developers/land owners, (2) deceased military, (3) pioneer families.

On a motion by Council Member Peart, seconded by Council Member Monroe and carried unanimously, Council approved the recommendations via items (1) through (3) above regarding the street names in the Spring Lake Specific Plan area.

RESIGNATION OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBER

On a motion by Council Member Pimentel, seconded by Council Member Monroe and carried unanimously, Council accepted, with regret, the resignation of Kevin Bryan from the Planning Commission, effective January 10, 2005.



COUNCIL MEMBERS AND CITY REPRESENTATIVES TO THE VARIOUS CITY COUNCIL COMMITTEES AND JOINT POWERS AUTHORITIES FOR 2005

Council concurred to appoint/reappoint Council Members to the various City Council Committees and representatives to the two Joint Powers Authorities for 2005.

CONSENT

Council concurred to remove the following item from the Consent Calendar for discussion and separate action:

FISCAL YEAR 2005 FEDERAL APPROPRIATIONS REQUESTS

Council Members Monroe and Pimentel will be attending the Cap-to-Cap, as will Vice Mayor Flory. Portions of their expenses will be paid by other entities.

On a motion by Council Member Monroe, seconded by Council Member Peart and carried unanimously, Council authorized the Fiscal Year 2005 Federal Appropriations Requests, which include: COPS Methamphetamine Initiative (\$150,000), Fire Protection Personnel – SAFER Act (\$2.1 million), Fire and Safety Training (\$743,265), Transportation Appropriations Bill TSCP Program (\$1 million), Transportation Appropriation – MPO Priority Project (\$15 million), EDI Appropriations VA & HUD for Community/Senior Services Center (\$500,000).

On a motion by Council Member Monroe, seconded by Council Member Peart and carried unanimously, Council approved the following Consent Calendar items as presented:

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT FOR 2004

Council received the 2004 City Manager's Report.

MONTHLY STATUS REPORT-FIRE DEPARTMENT

Council received the Monthly Status Report for December 2004 for the Fire Department.



TREASURER'S INVESTMENT REPORT

Council reviewed and accepted the Treasurer's Investment Report for November 2004.

HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT AWARD FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003-04, PART II

Council received information on the receipt of the Homeland Security Grant Award for Fiscal Year 2003-04, Part II.

COMMUNICATIONS

Council received notification of an application for a new off-sale Alcoholic Beverage Control License for Mis Amigos Meat Market located at 120 East Main Street.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Council received the minutes of the Yolo County Communications Emergency Service Agency meeting of December 1, 2004.

Council received the minutes of the Water Resources Association meeting of December 13, 2004.

ADJOURNMENT

At 10:45, Mayor Rexroad adjourned the joint regular meeting of the City Council, Redevelopment Agency Board, Woodland Public Facilities Corporation Board, and Woodland Public Finance Authority Board of the City of Woodland.

Respectfully submitted,

Sue Vannucci, CMC, City Clerk